

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/808,593	· <del>· ·</del> · · ·	03/25/2004	Joshua E. Clapper	061270-0894	4597
22428	7590	06/23/2005		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AN	ND LARI	DNER	GROSZ, ALEXANDER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				3673	
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/808,593	CLAPPER, JOSHUA E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander Grosz	3673
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	'IS SET TO EXPIRE // IS SE	NTH(S) FROM  nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <a href="#page-24"></a>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/10 is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order of the order of the order or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date PHOY (PROPRIETARY)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/808,593

Art Unit: 3673

In accordance with M.P.E.P. §724, applicants will be permitted to file a petition to expunge the above materials, as provided according to MEP §724.04.

The serial numbers for the co-pending applications must be inserted into paragraphs [0023] and [0036] and [0038].

On page 7, line 4, after "coupled", -to- must be inserted.

Claim 16 is objected to, as no clear antecedent basis is seen for "the fabric" in line 2 of the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 15, 20, 21, 23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/808,593

Art Unit: 3673

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14, 16-19, 22, 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen, teaching applicant's basic device, but not a fabric enclosure, mattress and other conventional features of bassinets.

It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a fabric enclosure with Chen's bassinet, because such fabric enclosures are conventionally used to retain baby in the bassinet.

Wajnsztejn (note strap 10, rod, guide 8, 9), Kurlander et al, Stang (note Fig. 3) Koch (note Fig. 2) Whitley et al (note Fig. 2), Parliaman (note Figs, 1, 3) are cited as relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alex Grosz at telephone number 571-272-7041.

Grosz/vs June 20, 2005

